



Identification of MRSA in the Pre-Admission Clinic in Patients Scheduled for Lower Limb Arthroplasty

Hunain Aslam

Ziauddin University Ziauddin Medical College Karachi, Pakistan

Mahad Amjad

Ziauddin University Ziauddin Medical College Karachi, Pakistan

Syeda Manahil H. Jeffrey*

Ziauddin University Ziauddin Medical College Karachi, Pakistan

Mustafa Pervez

MS Trainee Orthopedics Ziauddin University Hospital Karachi, Pakistan

Muhammad Umer Ahmed

Ziauddin University Ziauddin Medical College Karachi, Pakistan

Abstract

The advent of superior surgical techniques for lower limb arthroplasty resulted in benefitting many patients. However, with the rapid increase in the total number of surgeries performed, the risk of arthroplasty revision due to Peri-Prosthesis Joint Infection (PJI) and surgical site infection (SSI) also grew. Staphylococcus, particularly MRSA due to its unique virulence factors being the major culprits. Various risk factors have an accumulative effect on the outcome of this procedure and this study aims to highlight patients who presented with MRSA colonization pre treatment and provides in-depth follow up results.

Keywords: MRSA; Arthroplasty; Peri-Prosthetic Joint Infection; Total hip replacement; Total knee replacement; Spinal fusion.

1. Introduction

Since the introduction of superior surgical techniques for lower limb arthroplasty including total knee replacement (TKR) and total hip replacement (THR) surgeries, many patients have benefitted from the advanced surgical techniques of Prosthetic Joint Replacement. However with the increase in number of surgeries performed there is an increasing risk of arthroplasty revision [1] due to Peri-Prosthesis Joint Infection (PJI) and surgical site infection (SSI). SSI are one of the most common causes of nosocomial infection and complicate up to 10% operations [2]. Among the pathogens coagulase negative staphylococcus is most prevalent organism which causes SSI in orthopaedic surgeries [3]. Staphylococcus Aureus (SA) is the most commonly cultured bacteria from SSI [4] and preoperative nasal colonisation of SA is one of the most common risk factor for SSI (5-6). Out of all the people presenting for elective surgery approximately 28% have SA colonisation and out of which 1.8% have methicillin resistant Staphylococcus Aureus (MRSA) [5]. Over the past decade there has been an increase in MRSA, a subpopulation of the bacterium with unique resistance and virulence characteristics. Furthermore, there is an economic burden related to SSI following orthopaedic surgery, with MRSA-associated SSI leading to longer Hospital stays and increased hospital costs. However, some controversy does exist about the effectiveness of screening and eradication programme before surgical admission in order to reduce the risk of SSI [6].

1.1. Aim

The aim of this study was to identify the MRSA-colonized patients in the pre-admission clinic, followed by their treatment and follow up these patients for superficial and deep post operative infection with MRSA.



2. Material and Method

We included the cohort of patients assessed in pre-assessment clinic awaiting lower limb arthroplasty from January 2010 to December 2012. Data collected included resident status, age, gender, and the results of nasal swab for MRSA and treatment carried out. The subset of patients with initial positive MRSA swabs were treated using standard protocols and were subsequently followed up for any superficial and deep infection in the post operative period. The protocol used for treatment, followed the national infection guidelines and consisted of bactroban nasal ointment three times a day for five days. Three negative swabs were necessary before declaring the patient MRSA free. The Patients with positive nasal swabs were stratified and were followed over time post operatively to look for post of MRSA wound infection.

*Corresponding Author

3. Result

A Cohort of 396 patients were screened for MRSA of which 271 patients were total hip and 125 were for total knee arthroplasty. [Kalmeijer, et al. \[7\]](#) patients were detected to have positive nasal swab MRSA at the time of their assessment in the pre admission clinic. None of the patients developed SSI with MRSA. All the patient presented to us were from home.



4. Discussion

Methicillin was licensed in England in the treatment of penicillin-resistant *S. aureus* infections in 1959. Just as bacterial evolution allowed microbes to develop resistance to penicillin, strains of *S. aureus* evolved to become resistant to methicillin. The first MRSA isolates were reported in 1961 in a British study followed by similar detections in western Europe and Australia between 1961 to 1967 [2]. MRSA, possesses the *mecA* gene and the penicillin binding protein PBP2a, making it resistant to methicillin and oxacillin [3].

Our study data shows consistent result with approximately 2% patient having MRSA colonisation. At any given point SA colonises 25-30% population [8] and carriers are at higher risk of infection after invasive surgical procedure. Most commonly colonised sites are Anterior nasal nares however other sites that can be colonised are rectum, throat, groin and axillae. In orthopaedic patients the anterior nares are frequently colonised and approximately 25-30% with methicillin sensitive *S. Aureus* (MSSA) [7, 8] and 2-6% with MRSA [8]. There is a four fold increase in risk of SSI in patients with MRSA positive colonisation compared to MSSA [9] (11). MRSA colonisation is more common in certain population such as elderly, immunocompromised, patient on dialysis and patients who are frequently hospitalised. According to a study male population is at increased risk of colonisation and among all the ethnicities, caucasian males were at the highest risk [10]. Male Patients with BMI > 30 and history of asthma have also been associated with increased risk of both MRSA and MSSA colonisation [10].

Orthopaedic surgeries are advancing every day with increasing number of procedures done, it is estimated that annually 436,736 THR, 680,839 TKR and 413,171 spinal fusion procedures are performed [11]. With an increase in surgeries performed the risk of revision surgeries due to PJI and SSI also increasing. It is estimated that orthopaedic surgery SSI account for 38,000 complications, out of which 0.7%-4.1% due to spinal fusion infection rate, 0.67%-2.4% from Hip replacement infection rate and 0.68%-1.6% from knee replacement infection rate [12]. With such a high number of orthopaedic SSI that not only increases morbidity and mortality but also increases the length of hospital stay and cost. MRSA has become the primary cause of health care-associated infections throughout Europe, Asia, Australia, and The United States. Incidentally Ireland has one of the highest nosocomial MRSA colonisation rates in the European Community [13]

With such a high number of orthopaedic SSI, primary focus should be on to prevent the number of infection rather than to treat it by revision surgeries. As revision surgeries are done in 2 stages, first by removing the implant and second surgery to re implant the prosthesis, this not only increases the cost but also increases patient morbidity and mortality. Prevention of SSI by screening for nasal MRSA colonisation pre-operatively and treating it significantly reduces the rate of SSI in orthopaedic patients. Pre operative screening is not only cost effective but overall reduces the rate of re hospitalisation and increase patient morbidity and mortality. Since 1999, Ireland has participated in the European Antimicrobial Resistance Surveillance System (EARSS), which shows that MRSA levels vary throughout Europe. A significant contribution was made by the Strategy for the Control of Antimicrobial Resistance in Ireland (SARI), to stabilise the increase in MRSA cases, an initiative focusing on surveillance of antimicrobial resistance [14]. The chief measures to control MRSA are proper hand hygiene, restricted use of antibiotics and the detection and appropriate isolation of infected colonised patients.

5. Conclusion

As per our study, the frequency of MRSA infection post primary lower limb arthroplasty was found to be 0%. The result shows that, MRSA screening in PAC is an important adjuvant to the MRSA reduction protocol in patients undergoing lower limb arthroplasty. However a positive correlation was failed to be established. The question now arises as to if it MRSA screening could be safely excluded from the pre operative care of patients or not. Further assessment is however needed in this regard to establish a definitive outcome

6. Funding

There was no funding for this research

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- [1] Patel, A., Pavlou, G., Mújica-Mota, R., and Toms, A., 2015. "The epidemiology of revision total knee and hip arthroplasty in England and Wales: a comparative analysis with projections for the United States. A study using the National Joint Registry dataset." *Bone Joint J.*, vol. 97-B, pp. 1076-81.
- [2] Horan, T. C., Culver, D. H., Gaynes, R. P., Jarvis, W. R., Edwards, J. R., and Reid, C. R., 1993. "Nosocomial infections in surgical patients in the United States." *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol*, vol. 14, pp. 73-80.
- [3] Arciola, C. R., Cervellati, M., Pirini, V., Gamberini, S., and Montanaro, L., 2001. "Staphylococci in orthopaedic surgical wounds." *New Microbiol*, vol. 24, pp. 365-9.
- [4] Lowy, F., 1998. "Staphylococcus aureus infections." *N. Engl. J. Med.*, vol. 339, pp. 520-32. Available: <https://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/nejm199808203390806>
- [5] Kuehnert, M. J., Kruszon-Moran, D., and Hill, H. A., 2006. "Prevalence of staphylococcus aureus nasal colonization in the united states, 2001-2002." *J. Infect. Dis.*, vol. 193, pp. 172-9.

- [6] Perl, T. M. and Golub, J. E., 1998. "New approaches to reduce Staphylococcus aureus nosocomial infection rates: treating S. aureus nasal carriage." *Ann. Pharmacother*, vol. 32, pp. S7–S16.
- [7] Kalmeijer, M. D., van Nieuwland-Bollen, E., Bogaers-Hofman, D., de Baere, G. A. J., and Kluytmans, J. A. J. W., 2000. "Nasal carriage of Staphylococcus aureus is a major risk factor for surgical-site infections in orthopedic surgery." *Infection Control and Hospital Epi-demiology*, vol. 21, pp. 319–323.
- [8] Price, C. S., Williams, A., Philips, G., Dayton, M., Smith, W., and Morgan, S., 2008. "Staphylococcus aureus nasal colonization in preoperative orthopaedic outpatients." *Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research*, vol. 466, pp. 2842–2847.
- [9] Kim, D. H., Spencer, M., and Davidson, S. M., 2010. "Institutional prescreening for detection and eradication of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus in patients under-going elective orthopaedic surgery." *Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery A*, vol. 92, pp. 1820–1826.
- [10] Kirk, A., Campbell, M. D., Colleen, Cunningham, B. S., Saqib, Hasan, M. D., Lorraine, Hutzler, B. A., and Joseph, A. *Risk factors for developing staphylococcus aureus nasal colonization in spine and arthroplasty surgery*. Bosco III, M.D.
- [11] Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, 2015. "HCUP da- tabases." Available: www.hcup-us.ahrq.gov/
- [12] Edwards, J. R., Peterson, K. D., and Mu, Y., 2009. "National healthcare safety network (nhsn) report: Data summary for 2006 through 2008." *Am. J. Infect. Control*, vol. 37, pp. 783-805.
- [13] Tiemersma, E. W., Bronzwaer, S., and Lyytikainen, O., 2004. "Methicillin-resistant Staphy-lococcus aureus in Europe, 1999-2002." *Emerg. Infect. Dis.*, vol. 10, pp. 1627-34.
- [14] National Disease Surveillance Centre, 2001. *A strategy for the control of antimicrobial resistance in Ireland*. Ireland: National Disease Surveillance Centre.